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Introduction

Recent debate based on US data observed that over the past decades Many industries have become increasingly concentrated Profit margins and firm market power steadily increasing Profit inequality increased – a few firm rips most returns Income inequality increased while labour income's GDP share decreased Has merger policy gone too far in allowing mergers?

Council of Economic Advisers (US, 2016) expressing concerns
Perception: Quotes from mainstream media

"Markets work best when there is healthy competition among business. In too many industries, that competition just doesn't exist anymore."

The New York Times

"The rise of the corporate colossus threatens both competition and the legitimacy of business."

The Economist

"From health insurance to internet search, fewer firms control more of their markets."

The Wall Street Journal

“Very persistent and very high profit margins are a sign of weak competition. [...] This is bad for consumers, innovation and capital allocation. It is time for antitrust regulators to start blocking deals.”
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THE US EXPERIENCE
US: Concentration is increasing

More to fewer
Top four firms’ average share of total revenue, %
United States, across 893 industries, grouped by sector*

1997 2012

Sources: US Census Bureau; The Economist

*Weighted-average  †2007 ‡By valued-added

Economist.com
US: Profit share of GDP has skyrocketed

See Barkai (2017): Increase in profit share from around 5% (1990) to 15% (today)
US: Economic markups have increased even more

See De Loecker & Eeckhout (2017): This increase in markups implies an increase in the economic profit margin from around 20% (1980s) to 30% (2000) to 40% (today)
US: Downward trend in business dynamism

Figure 2: Firm Entry and Exit Rates, 1977–2013

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Business Dynamics Statistics.
...and US inequality has widened

See Piketty (2014)
Reactions to these trends

There have been many:

... not properly defined antitrust markets

... not suitable data (e.g., fixed costs not taken into account, reactions to Piketty, etc.)

... analysis takes market boundaries as given over time (e.g., U.S. census data), but markets have become wider with both globalization and digitization (leading to spurious increases in local concentration)

...higher concentration (to the extent it is there) must not necessarily be merger-induced, but can also stem from efficiencies of superstar firms (they benefit from these changes and their efficiency results in high market shares and high profit margins)
THE EU EXPERIENCE
POST CRISIS
What about **Europe**?

We undertook some analysis post-crisis in the largest EU economies, 170+ industries

*Caveats*

- Less data, and less consistently available
- Geographic and time coverage weaker
- Less academic analysis with systematic results
Income inequality: EU still below US
Inequality in Europe after the financial crisis

*Gini and P5020 indices in 2005-2013 (gross income, PPP-adjusted)*

- Income inequality did not increase since the crisis on average, **but**
- Lowest 20 percent became poorer compared to median

Source: **JRC** calculations from EU-SILC microdata
No increase in concentration after the crisis, EU5

Evolution of C4 and HHI4 in EU5, 2010-2015

C4: Weighted average share of 4 largest firms of each country/industry.
HHI4: Weighted average of sum of squared shares of 4 largest firms of each country/industry.
Source: Euromonitor data, EC calculations.
Most concentrated sectors: ITC, Transport, Industry and Finance
Increase typically not in high concentration industries

Counter examples: increase in industries with 60 to 80% C4
Concentration in Europe since the financial crisis (2010-2015, five largest economies)

- Concentration did not change on average
- At country level, small increases (FR and DE) or decreases (UK)
- Change in these sectors was country-specific but increase typically not in high concentration industries
Profitability in EU diverged from US post-crisis, but now...

Net profit as a share of GDP, EU5 vs. US, %

Source: European Commission (AMECO) based on National Accounts from Eurostat and Bureau of Economic Analysis.
EU5: France, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK
Net profit = net operating surplus - capital costs
Capital cost = net capital stock times 10 year government bond yield minus expected capital good inflation.
Profitability in Europe since the financial crisis (Five largest economies)

- EU-US profitability diverged post-crisis...
- But they are on the same historical trend
- Profitability trend increase in: agriculture, finance and education
- Profitability trend decline in: ITC
Business dynamism: No trend post-crisis

Entry and exit rates, EU5, 2008-2015

Source: Eurostat. EU5: France, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK.
Summary of "stylized facts"

**Europe vs US?**
Less, and less consistent data available but exercise is doable
=> Engage with the public debate and support concerted data effort to permit evidence-based determination of policy

- Post-crisis industry concentration rather stable (but high-ish)
- Recent (post-crisis) profit margins increased less than in US (but high)
- Income inequality – increased in past 30 years but still less and is below that of US
- Income inequality post-crisis stayed stable (but for bottom 20%)
Implications for **merger policy**

The implications can be viewed from **two different vantage points:**

**Ex-ante perspective:** Was competition enforcement too lax and has *caused* market power (e.g., by permitting excessive concentration)? Or are there plausible alternative explanations (e.g., globalization and digitization favoring "superstars")?

**Ex-post perspective:** Given that large firms' margins have considerably increased (and potentially also concentration), what does it imply for competition policy *going forward*?

Ex-post perspective is **easier to determine,** because it does not require agreeing on whether lax enforcement was causal for the increase in market power (or secular shifts such as globalization).
Implications for *merger policy*

**Determinants** of anticompetitive merger effects:

- ... concentration (parties have high *market shares*)
- ... closeness of competition (high *diversion ratios*)
- ... market power (parties have high *profit margins*)

In other words: The higher the merging parties' margins in a given case, the more likely traditional market share thresholds will **underestimate competitive effects** (all else equal).
Implications for competition policy

With respect to antitrust, the upward trend in margins increases the potential for anticompetitive leverage.

Merger control matters especially in preventing anticompetitive effects in a world of high margins.

Potential competition: do we have the 'right' standard?

=> If we do not properly adapt to changing markets, the risk is politics will (in ways we might not approve of).
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